



Centre Region Council of Governments REGIONAL PARK PLANNING COMMITTEE

2643 Gateway Drive #1 • State College, PA 16801-3885
Phone: (814) 231-3071 • Fax: 814. 235.7832 • E-Mail: crpr@crcog.net

REGIONAL PARK PLANNING HEARING AGENDA

Wed., Oct. 21, 2009, 7:00 – 9:00 PM

at the Centre Region COG Building, 2643 Gateway Drive, State College

Please sign-in on one of the rosters at the room entrance. On those forms, you may also (optionally) sign up to receive periodic email updates on future meetings regarding the regional parks.

1. Welcome and Introductions Ronald J. Woodhead, Director CRPR
 - a. The Regional Park Planning Committee
 - b. The Project Consultants: Mr. Jim Pashek & Mr. Dan Jones
2. What is a master plan?
How does tonight's meeting fit into the planning process?
3. How do the existing park facilities and the proposed Master Plan for the Oak Hall Regional Parklands influence the planning of Whitehall Road Park?
4. Review the Whitehall Road Regional Parkland site (75 acres + 25 acres)
5. Describe and discuss the three concept plans (over)
6. Next Steps
7. Adjournment

Updates regarding the park planning process are posted at www.crpr.org
Suggestions may also be emailed to crprlive@gmail.com

Funding assistance to acquire the regional parklands and to prepare the Master Site Plans has been provided by the five participating municipalities and by a grant from PA DCNR "Community Conservation Partnership Program."

(Continued)

Serving the Borough of State College and the Townships of College, Ferguson, Harris and Patton

5. Describe and discuss the three concept plans...

When considering the three concept plans in your group discussion, you may want to use some of the following questions to prompt discussion and help the group form a consensus:

1. What do you like about each concept?
2. What do you not like about each concept?
3. Does the proposed mix of park facilities make good sense, or should there be less of some and more of others?
4. Are there park facilities that are not included that should be?
5. How important is it to take advantage of views?
6. Which activities should have more priority for access without crossing a road?
7. Which road layout do you prefer and why?
8. Are there advantages to clustering similar field types?
9. Do you like the idea of "core areas" that connect the activities, provide support facilities like restrooms, playground areas and picnicking?
10. Do these proposals respond to the need to conserve and enhance the environment?